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## Tools for Managers and Teams

## Nominal Group Technique

## What is it?

A decision making tool for a group which cannot meet together, i.e., a group in "name only", or nominal group. It can be used by teams who are:

- Unable to meet together, or
- Pressed for time and cannot agequately discuss and evaluate options.

The tool has individuals rank options based upon personal preference, then tally the results to determine an overall ranking, which indicates the group's preference. The worksheet allows a group to evaluate up to 18 options in this manner.

## How do I use It?

(1) Write a statement or the decision to be made, or a description of the issue needing prioritization. Be aware that the statement you write implies some decisions have already been made.
(2) If the group is not together, send out the forms and instructions. Clarify roles and the type of decision (Consensus, Consult, Command, or Delegate). This determines who to involve when. Allow 10-15 minutes for individual rankings and 10 minutes to total rankings.
(3) List up to 18 options (A-R) to prioritize. These could be solutions, process improvement ideas, possible problems or projects, investment options, etc.
(4) Brainstorm the Criteria you will use to evaluate options before individuals rank the options. Criteria express your feelings, values, and intuition related to a decision.

| TOOL TIP: | If you have trouble developing Criteria, |
| :--- | :--- |
| consider Head-to-Head Comparisons, |  |
| page S-23. This lets you evaluate |  |
| options without stated criteria. You |  |
| capture your Pros/Cons for each choice. |  |
| These can easily be converted into a list <br> of Criteria. |  |
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Since they drive the decision making process, be sure your criteria:


In evaluating solution alternatives, remember solutions should have the desired EFFECT:
Eliminate root causes, i.e., provide a permanent solution to the problem,
Financially sound, i.e., will give the greatest results with the least investment,
Feasible, can be done and expected gains offset associated risks,
creates Enthusiasm among those who will implement, and possible given our
Capabilities and Time limits.


If using the tool to select a VITAL few goals or processes to improve, consider:
versus-How large is the gap versus
competitors? Versus customers' perception?

Influence--To what extent will improvement in this area influence other areas?
Timing--How urgent is it we improve in that
Two acronyms for remembering potentially important area now? Other things we should do first?
criteria dimensions are provided at right.
Appetite--Is there enthusiasm for achieving this goal? Improving this process?
Likelihood of success--How feasible is it?
Do we currently have the required capabilities in that area? Can we develop them?
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(5) Each group member should individually rank the options from best (1) to worst (18) in terms of how well they meet the agreed upon criteria.

Collect and record individual rankings:

- Write the initials of each group member in the top row
- Write the numbered rankings by each individual (1 up to 18) in the column belowtheir initials.

6 Calculate a Total score for each option. Simply add the numbers across the rows and place this sum in Column 6 labeled TOTAL.

Determine the TEAM RANKING. The lowest Total score (Column 6) is the \#1 option.

HINT: Remember numbers are used in this process to objectify a subjective process, not with precision.

- Review the highest ranked options versus agreed upon criteria to verify the selection.
- Does the choice feel right? Why? Why not?

| HINT: | This tool is ideal for "virtual" teams, which rarely meet. It can be administered by email, in a multi-step process. <br> a. The scribe or facilitator proposes a Decision statement. Group members then suggest changes. <br> b. Criteria are proposed and agreed upon. <br> c. Individuals brainstorm options and send them to the scribe. <br> d. Individuals rank the options and submit their rankings to the scribe. <br> e. Scores and Rankings are determined and distributed to the team. |
| :---: | :---: |

On the next page is an example, followed by a blank NGT worksheet.

NOMINAL GROUP TECHNIQUE
(1) Decision or Issue: CHOOSE a fun outing

Date: $\qquad$ Participants: $\qquad$
Review decision process?
$\qquad$ Facilitator: $\qquad$

Who will decide? - $\qquad$ Be consulted prior to? Timekeeper: $\qquad$

| - OPTIONS | © CRITERIA | © RANKINGS by team members (Put initials and rankings below) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | - TEAM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | MJ | SP | DR | LL | RH |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTALS | RANKING |
| A . Bulls gam e | - Fun | 5 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13 | 1 |
| B. DePaulgame |  | 4 | 3 | 7 | 1 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19 | 2 |
| C. H aw ks game |  | $8$ | 6 | , 2 | 6 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 23 | 4 |
| D. W olves game |  |  | 8 | 8 | ${ }^{7}$ | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 29 | 5 |
| E.Art museum |  | 1 | 4 |  |  | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 22 | 3 |
| F.M useum of Science \& Industry |  | 6 | 7 | 9 | 8 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 39 | 9 |
| G . Shedd A quarium |  | 3 | 10 | 4 | 5 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 32 | 7 |
| H. Planetarium |  | 7 | 5 | 10 | 9 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 40 | 10 |
| I. Brookfield Z oo |  | 10 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 33 | 8 |
| J. Boat ride on Lake M ichigan |  | 2 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 40 | 11 |
| K. B lue $M$ an Group |  | 11 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 31 | 6 |
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NOMINAL GROUP TECHNIQUE
(1) Decision or Issue: CHOOSE Scribe: $\qquad$ Facilitator:
Date: $\qquad$ Participants: $\qquad$
Review decision process?
Be consulted prior to?
Timekeeper:
Be informed after?



